EXODUS, TRAUMA, AND THE LOSS OF PLACE AND IDENTITY IN SIDDHARTHA GIGOO'S *THE GARDEN OF SOLITUDE*

Javaid Ahmad Lone
Assistant Professor of English
Department of Higher Education, Govt. of J&K
Suhaina Bi
Independent Researcher

ISSN 2277-7733
Volume 15 Issue 1,
June 2026

Abstract

Siddhartha Gigoo's novel, The Garden of Solitude, represents the exodus of the Pandit community of Kashmir from the valley of Kashmir in the early 1990s not merely as a geographical movement but as a traumatic rupture between individuals, their homeland, memories, sense of identity. Drawing upon Cathy Caruth's conceptualization of trauma, Sigmund Freud's revision of the Exodus narrative in Moses and Monotheism, Yi-Fu Tuan's theorization of place, and Michelle Balaev's formulation of literary trauma theory, this paper examines the relationship between displacement, place, memory, and psychological disintegration in Gigoo's novel. It argues that the Kashmiri Pandit exodus differs significantly from conventional voluntary migration because it involves the abandonment of an already inhabited homeland under conditions of fear, insecurity, and rupture. The paper particularly focuses on the older generation of displaced Kashmiri Pandits, for whom Kashmir is not simply a geographical location but a repository of memory, familial relations, cultural continuity, and personal identity. Their traumatic responses—loss of speech, impaired recognition, withdrawal into the past, and disruption of familial and moral relationships—demonstrate how the loss of place can destabilize the structures through which identity is constituted. The journey across the Banihal Tunnel becomes a symbolic threshold between homeland and exile, while the refugee camps represent the material and psychological consequences of displacement. Gigoo's novel thus presents exodus as an enduring condition of loss in which the displaced subject remains suspended between a remembered homeland and an unfamiliar present.

Keywords: *Siddhartha Gigoo; The Garden of Solitude; Kashmiri Pandits; exodus; trauma; displacement; place; memory; identity; exile.*

Displacement is often described in geographical terms: people leave one location and establish themselves in another. Such a description, however, becomes inadequate when displacement involves the forced abandonment of a homeland in which an individual or community has lived for generations. In such circumstances, the loss of home is not equivalent to the loss of a building or a physical territory. It can involve the disruption of memory, family relations, cultural practices, linguistic familiarity, social networks, and the ordinary routines through which a sense of self is maintained. The experience of displacement is therefore simultaneously spatial, psychological, cultural, and existential.

Siddhartha Gigoo's *The Garden of Solitude* represents the Kashmiri Pandit exodus from Kashmir in the early 1990s through precisely this expanded understanding of displacement. The novel does not treat departure as a completed historical

event whose consequences end once the displaced person reaches a new geographical location. Instead, exodus continues within memory, silence, altered perception, family relations, and the persistent longing for Kashmir. The homeland remains present as an absent place: it is physically inaccessible or radically transformed, yet it continues to organize the emotional and imaginative lives of the displaced.

The present paper focuses particularly on the older generation of displaced Kashmiri Pandits. This emphasis is significant because the older characters have accumulated decades of memories in Kashmir. Their relationship with the homeland is consequently not based only on political or territorial attachment. Kashmir constitutes the environment in which their identities have been formed and through which their relationships with family, neighbours, culture, religion, and everyday life have acquired meaning. When such a world is abruptly abandoned, the resulting trauma cannot be adequately understood as homesickness. It is better understood as a rupture in the continuity between self, place, and memory.

Cathy Caruth's work provides an important theoretical point of departure for understanding this rupture. In Unclaimed Experience, trauma is not presented merely as an event that is consciously remembered and narrated. Rather, traumatic experience may remain incompletely assimilated and return through belatedness, repetition, silence, and altered forms of behaviour. Caruth's formulation is particularly useful for reading Gigoo because many of the novel's displaced characters do not offer direct, coherent accounts of what has happened to them. Their trauma is instead registered through what they can no longer say, recognize, remember, or inhabit.

The term "exodus" also requires conceptual distinction from the more general category of migration. Migration can describe movement from one place to another without specifying whether that movement is voluntary, compelled, individual, or collective. Exodus, by contrast, carries the historical and cultural meaning of a collective departure associated with rupture and the abandonment of an established place. The Biblical Exodus provides a particularly influential model of collective departure, although the Kashmiri Pandit experience must not be simplistically equated with the Biblical narrative. The comparison becomes productive when it directs attention to the relationship between departure, memory, identity, and the possibility—or impossibility—of return.

Sigmund Freud's Moses and Monotheism complicates the conventional Exodus story by emphasizing questions of historical memory, repression, transmission, and return. His revision of the Mosaic narrative makes departure inseparable from what is remembered, forgotten, or subsequently reconstructed. Cathy Caruth's engagement with Freud similarly emphasizes the ways in which traumatic histories return indirectly and belatedly. These ideas illuminate Gigoo's representation of the Kashmiri Pandit exodus because the novel repeatedly places its characters between a remembered homeland and an unstable present.

The paper therefore argues that in The Garden of Solitude, exodus is represented not merely as geographical displacement but as a traumatic rupture between the individual and the place that constitutes his or her sense of self. For the older generation in particular, the loss of Kashmir entails the loss of a mnemonic, cultural, familial, and emotional world. Their inability to adapt to the new environment, their retreat into memories of the past, their loss of speech and recognition, and the disruption of familial and moral relationships reveal that displacement can unsettle the structures through which identity is formed and sustained. The novel consequently transforms exodus from a physical journey into an enduring existential condition: the displaced subject is separated from the homeland physically while remaining psychologically bound to it through memory and longing.

Exodus, Departure, and the Problem of Return

The concept of exodus acquires much of its cultural force from the Biblical account of the Israelites' departure from Egypt. At one level, the Exodus narrative is a story of collective movement: a community leaves a place associated with bondage and moves toward a promised homeland. Its structure is consequently teleological. Departure is followed by a destination, and the destination provides the possibility of collective renewal. Yet the story is also deeply concerned with memory, identity, law, belonging, and the difficult formation of a community after departure.

Freud's Moses and Monotheism introduces a productive complication into this structure. Freud does not simply reproduce the Biblical narrative; he interrogates its historical formation and emphasizes questions of memory and repression. His account suggests that collective histories can persist even when their origins are obscured or suppressed. The significance of the Exodus therefore lies not only in leaving one place but also in the psychological and cultural processes through which departure is remembered.

The Kashmiri Pandit exodus represented by Gigoo differs fundamentally from the conventional model of migration towards a promised future. The displaced characters are not leaving an alien or temporary environment in search of an ancestral homeland. They are leaving a place they already inhabit and experience as home. Their departure is therefore characterized by loss rather than fulfilment. The direction of movement does not necessarily correspond to psychological progress. Instead, the displaced remain oriented toward the place they have left behind.

This ambiguity is captured in Lasa's question about whether exodus was "a sign of cowardice or great strength and courage" (Gigoo 72). The question resists a simple moral interpretation of departure. Leaving can be understood as survival, but it can simultaneously be experienced as defeat, abandonment, or the loss of belonging. The displaced person may physically escape danger while psychologically remaining attached to the scene of departure.

The Banihal Tunnel intensifies this ambiguity. Geographically, crossing the tunnel is part of the journey away from Kashmir. Symbolically, however, it functions as a threshold. Before the crossing lies the familiar landscape of home; beyond it lies the uncertain space of displacement. Pamposh recalls that his grandfather

"stopped talking after we crossed the Banihal tunnel" and watched the fading mountains until they disappeared from view (Gigoo 97). The timing is crucial. Silence begins at the threshold between homeland and exile. The tunnel therefore becomes more than a geographical passage: it marks a psychic rupture.

The disappearing mountains are equally significant. They are not merely landscape. They become visible signs of a world that is receding from immediate experience. As the mountains disappear, the grandfather's relation to his homeland changes from direct perception to memory. The scene dramatizes the transition from lived place to remembered place. His silence suggests that the transition cannot be fully articulated.

The question of return further distinguishes this exodus from the Biblical model. In the Biblical Exodus, the community moves toward a promised land. In Gigoo's novel, the displaced characters remain emotionally oriented toward the homeland they have lost. Return is not simply a matter of physical movement because the place remembered may no longer correspond to the place that exists. The possibility of return is therefore complicated by historical change, psychological injury, and the transformation of memory itself.

Exodus thus becomes a suspended condition. The displaced person has left physically but has not necessarily arrived psychologically. The body occupies a new environment while memory continues to inhabit the old one. This tension between physical absence and psychological presence constitutes one of the central structures of trauma in the novel.

Place, Memory, and the Formation of Identity

Yi-Fu Tuan's Space and Place provides an important framework for understanding why the loss of Kashmir becomes more than territorial dispossession. Tuan distinguishes abstract space from experienced place, emphasizing the ways in which places acquire value through familiarity, attachment, and lived experience. A place becomes meaningful because human beings inhabit it, remember it, associate it with relationships, and invest it with emotional significance.

E. V. Walter similarly emphasizes that environments become meaningful through human experience. From this perspective, Kashmir in Gigoo's novel cannot be reduced to a physical landscape. It is a lived environment saturated with memories, family histories, cultural practices, religious associations, and everyday routines. Its significance is produced through the accumulated experience of inhabitation.

This distinction is especially important for the older generation. A younger displaced person may, at least theoretically, establish new social and professional relationships in another environment. For an elderly character whose entire life has been organized around one landscape, however, the new environment may lack the accumulated meanings that made the previous environment intelligible. Displacement consequently becomes a crisis of continuity.

Lasa's statement, "this is our end. We have only the past to seek refuge in" (Gigoo 76), captures the paradox of traumatic memory. The past becomes a refuge because the present is experienced as alien, but it also becomes a source of pain

because it confirms what has been lost. Memory therefore performs two contradictory functions. It preserves identity by keeping the homeland alive, yet it continually reminds the displaced person of the impossibility of recovering the lost world in its original form.

The concept of place also helps explain why identity in the novel is spatial and relational. People recognize themselves partly through the places in which they have lived and the relationships those places sustain. Home provides continuity between childhood and old age, between private and public life, and between individual memory and collective history. When home is lost, these relationships are interrupted. The loss of place therefore produces a corresponding instability in identity. The displaced subject is no longer situated within the familiar network of meanings that previously confirmed who he or she was. Names, houses, neighbourhoods, routes, landscapes, and social relations become memories rather than ordinary elements of daily life. The self is consequently compelled to reconstruct its continuity from fragments.

Gigoo's novel repeatedly shows that memory becomes the principal means by which the displaced attempt to maintain that continuity. Yet memory is not a perfect substitute for place. It cannot reproduce the physical presence of the landscape or restore the social world that once surrounded it. The displaced subject remains caught between remembrance and absence. This is one reason why the title *The Garden of Solitude* can be read as suggestive of an inward space of recollection: the lost homeland survives increasingly as an imaginative and mnemonic territory.

The Trauma of the Displaced Elderly

The representation of elderly displaced characters is among the most powerful aspects of Gigoo's treatment of exodus. Their vulnerability arises not simply from age but from the depth of their attachment to the homeland. Their biographies are inseparable from Kashmir. The loss of the place therefore threatens the continuity of the self.

Pamposh's account of her grandfather is particularly revealing: he "stopped talking after we crossed the Banihal tunnel" and looked sadly at the "fading mountains" until they disappeared from view (Gigoo 97). The passage condenses several dimensions of trauma into a single moment. There is geographical separation, visual loss, silence, fear, and an apparent inability to communicate the experience.

Caruth's formulation of trauma helps explain why silence is important here. Trauma may exceed the subject's immediate capacity to assimilate and narrate experience. The grandfather's silence should therefore not be interpreted simply as an absence of speech. It is a form through which the rupture becomes visible. What cannot be narrated appears as withdrawal.

The phrase "frozen dreams" intensifies this relationship between memory and trauma. The homeland is transformed into an image that is simultaneously vivid and inaccessible. The grandfather can look back, but he cannot return through looking. The landscape becomes an object of mourning.

The scene also establishes a connection between trauma and temporality. The grandfather is physically moving into the future, but psychologically he remains oriented toward the disappearing past. The journey does not produce a straightforward movement from one temporal state to another. Instead, past and present coexist painfully. His silence marks the failure of these temporalities to reconcile.

The older generation's trauma is consequently different in emphasis from the ordinary difficulties of resettlement. Their problem is not merely that the new location is unfamiliar. The deeper problem is that the familiar world through which their identity was constituted has been severed from them. The new place cannot immediately perform the same mnemonic and relational functions.

Gigoo's representation is important because it refuses to reduce trauma to a single spectacular event. Trauma is dispersed through ordinary life. It appears in silence, gaze, withdrawal, memory, perception, and the inability to establish continuity. The novel thereby shows how political violence can continue to act long after the immediate moment of departure has ended.

Memory, Amnesia, and the Loss of Self

The relationship between trauma and memory becomes even more complex in the representation of the older characters who lose their capacity to recognize aspects of the present. Pamposh observes that her grandmother "does not recognise the insects" and "confuses a lizard with a plastic toy paralysed on the wall" (Gigoo 98). The description culminates in an image of "oblivion and amnesia."

Recognition is fundamental to inhabiting a world. To recognize an object is not simply to perceive its physical form; it is to place that object within an existing network of meanings. The grandmother's difficulty in recognition therefore suggests more than ordinary confusion. Her environment has become perceptually and emotionally unstable.

The passage can be read alongside trauma theory without reducing the character's condition to a clinical diagnosis. The novel presents a subject whose relation to the world has been disturbed. Objects that should be familiar or intelligible lose their stable meanings. The result is a form of estrangement that parallels geographical displacement.

Mahanandju's retreat into the past provides another version of this phenomenon. The past offers a coherent world because it is linked to familiar places, people, and routines. The present, by contrast, is marked by displacement. Retreating into memory can therefore function as a psychological strategy for preserving continuity. Yet the past cannot simply restore the lost homeland. Memory reconstructs rather than reproduces experience. It selects, transforms, and organizes fragments. The more the present becomes alien, the more intensely the past may be idealized. In this sense, memory is both a defence against displacement and a mechanism through which the loss becomes permanent.

Caruth's understanding of traumatic experience as something that may not be fully assimilated is relevant here. The characters' relationship with memory does not produce a complete narrative of the past. Instead, the past persists through

fragments, silences, repeated images, and altered behaviour. The inability to recognize the present and the compulsion to revisit the past become two sides of the same crisis.

The loss of memory or recognition also raises the question of identity. If identity depends partly on continuity between past and present, then severe disruption of memory threatens the subject's ability to experience himself or herself as the same person across time. Gigoo consequently connects displacement to a deeper crisis: the loss of place can become a loss of the temporal continuity that sustains selfhood.

The Refugee Camp and the Loss of Private Space

The traumatic consequences of exodus are also materialized in the conditions of refugee-camp life. Displacement does not end when the displaced person reaches a place of physical safety. The quality of that new environment matters because spatial conditions shape dignity, privacy, relationships, and everyday routines.

Sawhney and Mehrotra's discussion of displacement from Kashmir draws attention to the cramped accommodation and lack of privacy experienced by displaced families. Such conditions are important to Gigoo's representation because the refugee camp contrasts sharply with the domestic spaces remembered from Kashmir. A home is not only a roof; it is a spatial arrangement that permits family members to establish boundaries between intimacy, privacy, work, rest, and social interaction.

When one room must accommodate several activities and several members of a family, these boundaries weaken. Eating, sleeping, conversation, and private bodily routines may occur within the same restricted environment. The loss of privacy consequently becomes another form of dispossession.

The camp should therefore be read as a spatial expression of exile. It is materially different from the remembered home, but the difference is also psychological. The displaced are made to live in a space that does not yet possess the accumulated meanings of belonging. Its temporariness reinforces uncertainty: the residents may imagine a future return, yet the temporary accommodation can become prolonged.

This condition produces a paradox. The camp is a place of refuge from immediate danger, but it is also a reminder of dispossession. Safety does not automatically restore belonging. A person may be physically secure and nevertheless remain existentially displaced.

The contrast between Kashmir and the camp thus reinforces Tuan's distinction between abstract space and meaningful place. Kashmir is remembered as place because it contains accumulated experience. The camp begins as space: functional, provisional, and insufficiently invested with personal meaning. The traumatic work of displacement is partly the attempt to live within a space that has not become home.

Trauma and the Disruption of Familial and Moral Relations

Gigoo's treatment of trauma becomes particularly unsettling when displacement begins to affect familial and moral boundaries. Pamposh describes disturbing

behaviour by her grandfather involving his watching her change clothes and handling or smelling her clothes (Gigoo 98–99). The episode is ethically troubling and should not be romanticized or excused as a simple consequence of suffering.

Its significance for the novel's representation of trauma lies in the way displacement and deprivation destabilize relationships that previously appeared governed by recognizable familial norms. The family, normally a site of protection and continuity, becomes another space in which the consequences of rupture are visible.

Michelle Balaev's work on literary trauma is useful here because it emphasizes that trauma fiction need not be confined to an individual psychological wound. It can explore altered relationships between self and environment, self and other, and individual experience and broader cultural histories. Gigoo's episode can therefore be read as a representation of relational breakdown within a displaced community.

At the same time, it is essential to avoid a deterministic interpretation. Trauma does not automatically produce sexual misconduct, nor does suffering remove individual responsibility. The disturbing conduct should instead be understood as one extreme instance of the novel's broader representation of fractured boundaries. The point is not that displacement excuses the behaviour, but that the novel shows how social dislocation can create conditions in which established structures of intimacy and propriety become unstable.

A comparison with Toni Morrison's The Bluest Eye may be useful at the level of literary method rather than historical equivalence. Morrison demonstrates how social and historical violence can enter intimate domestic relationships and distort perceptions of the self and others. Gigoo similarly places large-scale displacement alongside disturbing changes in private relations. In both cases, historical trauma is not confined to public events; it can become visible in the family.

Such a reading also reinforces the argument that trauma in The Garden of Solitude is not simply an internal psychological state. It is relational. It changes how characters see places, remember people, interpret objects, and behave toward one another. The private sphere becomes one of the locations where the consequences of collective rupture are most painfully registered.

Exodus and the Loss of Identity

The preceding dimensions of Gigoo's novel converge in the question of identity. The displaced characters lose more than geographical territory. They lose the environmental, cultural, familial, and mnemonic structures that previously confirmed their sense of self.

Identity is not formed in isolation. It develops through relationships with people, places, languages, memories, practices, and histories. For the older Kashmiri Pandit characters, Kashmir is therefore constitutive of identity rather than merely its setting. To lose Kashmir is to lose a significant part of the world through which the self has been experienced.

This explains why the novel's images of landscape carry such psychological force. The fading mountains after the Banihal crossing represent the disappearance of a physical reference point, but they also represent the weakening of an identity

anchored in place. The grandfather's silence at the moment of visual separation makes the connection explicit: geographical loss becomes an interruption of speech and self-expression.

Similarly, the characters' retreat into the past demonstrates the persistence of place within memory. If identity has been formed through Kashmir, then memory becomes a means of preserving identity after physical separation. But this strategy has a cost. The more intensely the past is inhabited, the more alien the present may become.

The refugee camp intensifies the problem because it provides shelter without immediately providing belonging. The displaced person occupies a new space but does not necessarily possess a new place. This distinction is crucial to understanding the novel's treatment of exile. Exile is not ended by arrival; it persists until—or unless—the subject can establish a meaningful relation with the new environment. For some of the elderly characters, such reintegration appears extremely difficult.

The title The Garden of Solitude is suggestive in this context. A garden conventionally implies cultivation, rootedness, growth, and enclosure. Solitude, by contrast, implies separation. The combination of the two terms evokes a paradoxical space in which the memory of rootedness survives within conditions of isolation. The "garden" can be understood as a mnemonic or imaginative reconstruction of belonging, while "solitude" points to the impossibility of fully sharing or recovering the lost world. This reading should remain interpretive rather than definitive, but it reinforces the novel's concern with the relationship between place and isolation.

The loss of identity in Gigoo is therefore not equivalent to the disappearance of cultural identity in a simple or absolute sense. The displaced retain memories, traditions, and affiliations. What is threatened is the continuity through which these elements are lived as ordinary components of a place-based existence. The exodus turns lived identity into remembered identity.

In this sense, the Kashmiri Pandit exodus becomes an existential condition. The displaced inhabit a present from which the homeland is absent, while their memories continually return them to the homeland. They are neither simply inhabitants of the new environment nor fully present in the old one. Their identity is suspended between two temporal and spatial realities.

Conclusion

The Garden of Solitude presents the Kashmiri Pandit exodus as a complex experience of geographical, psychological, cultural, and existential displacement. Through its representation of the older generation, the novel demonstrates that forced departure does not end when the displaced person reaches a new location. The consequences of exodus continue through memory, silence, altered perception, disrupted relationships, and the persistent inability to experience the new environment as equivalent to the lost homeland.

The distinction between migration and exodus is therefore fundamental. Migration can describe movement, but exodus foregrounds rupture. The displaced characters leave a homeland already saturated with personal and

collective meaning. Unlike the Biblical Exodus, which is oriented toward a promised destination, their departure is marked by the loss of an existing home and by an uncertain relationship with return. Freud's revision of the Exodus narrative and Caruth's account of trauma help illuminate this tension between departure, memory, repression, and return.

Yi-Fu Tuan and E. V. Walter further clarify why the loss of Kashmir becomes a crisis of identity. Place is not merely physical territory. It is produced through lived experience and accumulated attachment. Kashmir functions in Gigoo's novel as a mnemonic, familial, cultural, and emotional environment. Its loss consequently disrupts the structures through which identity has been formed.

The novel's treatment of the elderly makes this disruption particularly visible. The grandfather's silence after crossing the Banihal Tunnel, his gaze at the fading mountains, the grandmother's impaired recognition, and the older characters' retreat into the past all reveal trauma through behaviour rather than through a complete verbal account. The refugee camp extends the experience into material life by depriving families of privacy and familiarity. The disturbing disruption of familial boundaries further demonstrates that collective trauma can enter intimate relationships.

Gigoo's achievement lies partly in showing that displacement is not a single event but an enduring condition. The homeland survives as memory precisely because it has been lost, and memory becomes both a refuge and a reminder of absence. The displaced subject therefore occupies a paradoxical position: physically separated from Kashmir but psychologically attached to it.

The novel ultimately suggests that the loss of homeland can become a loss of part of the self. The exodus is traumatic because it breaks the continuity between person and place, past and present, memory and everyday life. For the older generation in particular, the departure from Kashmir is consequently not simply a journey from one geographical location to another. It is a rupture in the world that had made their lives intelligible. The Garden of Solitude transforms that rupture into a literary representation of enduring exile, showing how the memory of place can preserve identity even as the loss of place threatens the very structures through which identity is sustained.

References

Balaev, Michelle. "Trends in Literary Trauma Theory." Mosaic: An Interdisciplinary Critical Journal, vol. 41, no. 2, 2008, pp. 149–166.

Caruth, Cathy. Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History. Johns Hopkins UP, 1996.

Freud, Sigmund. *Moses and Monotheism*. Translated by Katherine Jones, Vintage, 1967.

Gigoo, Siddhartha. *The Garden of Solitude*. Rupa Publications, 2011.

Sawhney, Charu, and Nilika Mehrotra. "Displacement from Kashmir: Gendered Responses." Sociological Bulletin, vol. 62, no. 1, 2013, pp. 83–99.

Tuan, Yi-Fu. Space and Place: The Perspective of Experience. U of Minnesota P, 1977.

Walter, E. V. Placeways: A Theory of the Human Environment. U of North Carolina P, 1988.